

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD**

HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY

Writ Petition No.19081 of 1995

-
Dated: July 19, 2006
-
-

Between:

Rambachan Yadav, S/o. Jegudu yadav, aged 42 years,
R/o.E38, University Campus, Gachibowli, working as
Head Security Guard, University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad,
and others.

... Petitioners

And

University of Hyderabad, represented by its
Registrar, Gachibowli, Hyderabad – 500 134.

... Respondent

Order:

This writ petition has been filed seeking Mandamus to declare the proceedings dated 06-06-1995, rejecting the representation of the petitioners dated 10-04-1995, as illegal and arbitrary.

2. The petitioners, three in number, were initially appointed as Chowkidars in the year 1984. Their scale of pay was fixed at Rs.950-29-1150-EB-25-1040 vide proceedings dated 30-07-1990.

Petitioners claim that earlier, their cases were considered for promotion in the promotion policy notified for Group-D employees and were promoted from security guards/chowkidars to Head Security Guards. The case of the petitioners is that as they have been promoted from the post of Security Guard to Head Security Guard, they represented to the university to re-designate them as Junior Security Assistants. The same was rejected by the respondents by the impugned proceedings.

3. Counter affidavit has been filed by the respondent stating that the petitioners were designated as Head Chowkidars and not Head Security Guards as contended by them. It is further stated that the petitioners do not possess matriculate qualification, which is the minimum qualification for consideration for the post of Junior Security Assistant in the pay scale of Rs.950-1500.

4. Merely because the petitioners were earlier given the scale of pay of Rs.950-1400, in the absence of their possessing requisite qualification, they do not have any right to claim to be re-designated as Junior Security Assistants. Designation of a post cannot be changed basing on the request unless such post is notified in the cadre strength. In that view of the matter, I do not find any illegality in the impugned proceedings, rejecting the claim of the petitioners to be re-designated as Junior Security Assistants.

5. The writ petition is devoid of merits and is accordingly dismissed. No order as to costs.

JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY.

July 19, 2006

MRR